



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 69/2025**

M/S SANDHU MOTOR FINANCE PVT LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sonali Arora,
Advocate.

versus

RAVINDER KUMAR & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEEPAK
DABAS (DHJS)**

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. As per office noting, process qua respondent no.1 has been received back unserved.
2. As per record, respondent no.2 has been duly served.
3. On 17.03.2025 counsel for respondent no.2 had appeared in court and respondent no.2 was granted time of two weeks to file reply.
4. As per record, respondent no.2 has not filed his reply till date.
5. The said period has already expired, hence, right of respondent no.2 to file reply qua present petition stands closed.
6. Counsel for petitioner seeks and is granted time of four weeks for verifying address of respondent no.1.
7. Re-notify on 03.11.2025 for further proceedings as per law.

**DEEPAK DABAS (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025/anj