



2026:DHC:2697

\$~13, 14 & 29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7884/2019**, CM APPL. 1177/2021 & CM APPL. 1209/2021
ANJU RANI

(14)

+ **W.P.(C) 5053/2020**, CM APPL. 18234/2020, CM APPL. 9904/2021
& CM APPL. 17558/2022

DEEPA CHHIBBER & ORS

(29)

+ **W.P.(C) 227/2023** & CM APPL.21488/2023

RAM ROOP SHARMA AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva and
Mr. Rohit Lochav, Advocates in
W.P.(C) 7884/2019.
Ms. Asha Jain Madan and Mr. Rohit
Lochav, Advocates in W.P.(C)
5053/2020 & W.P.(C) 227/2023.

versus

DAYANAND ADARSH VIDYALAYA AND ORS Respondent

Through: Ms. Sanskriti, proxy counsel for R-1.
Ms. Ritu Jain, Advocate for R-6 in
W.P.(C) 5053/2020 and for R-2 in
W.P.(C) 227/2023.
Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Mr. Hitanshu Mishra
and Mr. Vinit Roy for Mr. Yeeshu
Jain, ASC for DoE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

25.04.2024

%

(The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid Mode)



2026:DHC:2697

1. Ms. Sanskriti, learned proxy counsel appearing for respondent no.1- Dayanand Adarsh Vidyalaya submits that the arguing counsel Mr. Vineet Bahl is not well and seeks a short accommodation on that ground.
2. From the submission made today, it transpires that respondent nos. 3 and 4/DoE in their counter affidavit have stated that though the respondent no.1 had applied for closure of the School, however, the approval as stipulated under Rule 46 of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973 has not been granted till date by the Director of Education.
3. In that view of the matter, the assertion that the School stands closed from 01.04.2020 cannot be countenanced.
4. There is no appearance on behalf of respondent no.7 either, which is the sponsoring society running respondent no.1/school.
5. The presence of respondent no.7 also would be relevant since it is a sponsoring society of respondent no.1 and may be amenable to any order passed by this Court.
6. Issue Court notice without process fee to the Secretary of respondent no.7 for the next date of hearing, directing their presence, failing which orders may be passed affecting the respondent No.7.
7. List of judgments, if any, on issue of maintainability raised by respondent no.6 be filed within four weeks from today. An advance copy thereof be given to learned counsel for the petitioners.
8. In view of the submissions of Ms. Sanskriti, learned proxy counsel for respondent no.1, list again on 04.09.2024.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

APRIL 25, 2024

Aj