



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 5/2012
YASHWANT SINGH

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate with Mr.
Kunal Sachdeva, Advocate.

versus

ASHUTOSH VERMA

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **14.05.2018**

I.A. No.17118/2013 (application for modification of order dated 9.10.2013 by defendant)

1. There is no opposition to this application limited to the relief that defence of the defendant will not be struck off and the written statement of the defendant will be considered in the suit alongwith all other aspects of the suit.

I.A. stands disposed of.

I.A. Nos.2347/2014 (under Order 39 Rule 2A CPC), 10019/2017 (exemption), 10020/2017 (under Order 39 Rule 2A CPC), 10223/2017 (under Section 151 CPC for disposal of all pending applications) & 10224/2017 (exemption) by plaintiff)

2. These applications are disposed of as not pressed.

I.A. No.4108/2018 (for directions by plaintiff)

3. This application is allowed and disposed of by ordering release



of the amount deposited in this Court alongwith accrued interest thereon by the defendant towards the rent and in terms of para iii of the order dated 4.2.2014. Taking into consideration the further order passed on 10.8.2015 with respect to payment of rent by the defendant to the plaintiff, it is ordered that counsel for the plaintiff will give to the counsel for the defendant within one week from today details of the bank account of the plaintiff so that defendant every month can deposit the rent of Rs.1 lakh directly in the bank account of the plaintiff and this order is passed so that there is no issue with respect to tender of rent being refused.

I.A. stands disposed of.

+CS(OS) No.5/2012 and I.A. Nos.10222/2017 (under Section 151 CPC for modification of order dated 21.12.2012 & 5686/2018 (under Order 12 Rule 6 CPC) by plaintiff)

4. List on 17th September, 2018.
5. It is observed that on the next date of hearing issues will be framed and parties are directed to bring their proposed issues in the Court. However, not bringing of the proposed issues will not be a ground to seek adjournment for framing of issues.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MAY 14, 2018

Ne