



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 10/2025 & I.A.No.368/2025 (under Order
XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

SHRI INDERPAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Sonali Malhotra & Ms.
Prachi Dutta, Advs.

versus

SMT. BALJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER

..... Defendant

Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)

ORDER
25.09.2025

%

1. As per office note, vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of defendant no.1.
2. written statement, documents and affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed on behalf of defendant no.1 have been returned under objection. Learned counsel for defendant no.1 is requested to check it up with the Registry and have the same placed on record.
3. Vide order dated 06/05/2025, the Hon'ble Court has directed to verify the service status of defendant no.2.
4. Perusal of record shows that it has been recorded in the order dated 08/04/2025 that Ms. Tejinder Viridi, Advocate appeared for both the defendants. Once



learned counsel for the defendant no.1 submitted that she is appearing for defendant no.1 & 2, it appears that defendant no.2 has the knowledge of the present suit and defendant no.2 is deliberately not appearing in the matter. Even learned counsel for the defendant no.1 has not provided address of defendant no.2 for service. In view of the above facts and circumstances, defendant no.2 is deemed to have been served.

5. Let the pleadings be completed as per law
6. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on **17th December, 2025.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
SEPTEMBER 25, 2025/nk