



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 10/2025**

CNR No. : DLHC010001102025

SHRI INDERPAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Sonali Malhotra & Ms.
Prachi Dutta, Advs.

versus

SMT. BALJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Tajinder Viridi, Adv. for D-1
(appeared through VC) (Mobile
No.9818834444)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL**

ORDER

27.07.2026

%

**IA No. 31618/2025 (under Order VIII Rule 10 r/w
Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiffs)**

1. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking striking off the defence of the defendant.
2. Vide order dated 23.03.2026, a last and final opportunity of four weeks was granted to the defendant to file the reply, with four weeks thereafter for filing the rejoinder.
3. As per the office note, no reply has been filed till date.
4. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 seeks one further opportunity to file the reply on the ground that Defendant No. 1 is presently travelling abroad and has



not yet returned to court. It is submitted that the reply could not be signed by Defendant No. 1 and, therefore, could not be filed.

5. The said request is strongly opposed by learned counsel for the plaintiff, who submits that sufficient opportunities spanning over four months have already been granted. It is further submitted that the mere fact that Defendant No. 1 is presently outside India cannot be a ground to indefinitely delay the proceedings.
6. Heard. Perused and considered.
7. The record reveals that repeated opportunities have already been granted to the defendant to file the reply. Despite the order dated 23.03.2026 granting a last and final opportunity, no reply has been filed till date.
8. The ground urged by the defendant that Defendant No. 1 is presently abroad does not constitute sufficient cause for granting any further extension, particularly when the defendant has already been afforded adequate opportunity to place the reply on record.
9. Accordingly, no further indulgence is warranted. The right of the defendant to file the reply to IA No. 31618/2025 is closed.
10. List for arguments on IA No. 31618/2025 on 11.12.2026.

CS(OS) 10/2025

11. Vide order dated 03.03.2026, it was noticed that the written statement along with the affidavit of admission and denial filed on behalf of Defendant No. 1 was lying under office objections. Directions were issued to remove the said objections.



12. As per the office no. 16 objections have not yet been removed and the written statement continues to remain under office objections.
13. Defendant No. 1 is directed to coordinate with the Registry, have the office objections removed in accordance with law and get the written statement placed on record.
14. List for arguments on IA No. 31618/2025 on **11.12.2026.**

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 27, 2026/nk