



\$~9

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

RFA(OS) 20/1997

SURENDER SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: None

versus

NEPLAI DEVI & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Kartik Yadav, Advocate for
respondent No.6

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

13.04.2023

CM APPL. 10794/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 9 CPC for setting aside the abatement order dated 24.04.2009 and to take on record the application u/O 22 Rule 3 & 11 CPC) & CM APPL. 10795/2019 (delay) & CM APPL. 10980/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC for bringing on record the LRs of deceased appellant) & CM APPL. 10981/2019 (delay in re-filing)

There is no appearance on behalf of the appellant.

Reply already stands filed on behalf of respondent No.6, which has been adopted by respondent Nos.2 to 5 and 7. However, rejoinder thereto has not been filed by the appellant. Let rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks.

Respondent No.8 has not filed the reply despite several opportunities. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to respondent No.8 to file reply, if any, within eight weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellant, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

Page 1 of 2



Learned counsel for the respondent No.6 submits that he would come prepared on the next date of hearing and assist the Court for getting the applications disposed of which are pending since 2019.

Issue Court notice to the appellant as well as counsel for the appellant, returnable on 21.08.2023. It may be indicated in the notice that counsel will come prepared to assist the Court in disposal of the pending applications. A copy of this order be annexed with the Court notice.

Re-notify on 21.08.2023.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 13, 2023

vk

Page 2 of 2