



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA(OS) 20/1997

SURENDER SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: None

versus

NEPLAI DEVI & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma with Mr. Prabhash,
Advocates for respondent Nos.2 to 7

CORAM: SH. H.K. ARORA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

03.03.2022

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL.10794/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 9 CPC), CM APPL.10795/2019 (delay), CM APPL.10980/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC) & CM APPL.10981/2019 (delay)

Reply stands filed on behalf of respondent No.6. Let rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed by the appellant before the next date.

Mr. Rahul Sharma alongwith Mr. Prabhat, Advocates appearing for respondent Nos.2 to 7 undertakes to file his vakalatnama within three days. Let him do so. Learned counsel seeks time to have instructions whether reply is required to be filed on behalf of

Page 1 of 2



respondent Nos.2 to 5 and 7 separately or the said respondents would adopt the reply already filed on behalf of respondent No.6. Reply has not been filed by respondent No.8 also. Let reply, if any, on behalf of respondent Nos.2 to 5, 7 and 8 be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellant, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

List again on 20.04.2022.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 3, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2