



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ITA 140/2023**

**THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX –
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION -2**

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Prashant Meharchandani, Senior
Standing Counsel.

versus

THE BANK OF TOKYO -MITSUBISHI UFJ LTD. Respondent

Through: Mr Hiten Thakkar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

19.12.2023

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Mr Hiten Thakkar, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the respondent/assessee, says that the respondent/assessee has approached the concerned authority *qua* the disputed tax under the Direct Taxes Vivad se Vishwas Act, 2020.

2. However, Mr Thakkar submits that since refund calculated by the concerned authority is lesser than what was sought by the petitioner/assessee, the petitioner/assessee has filed a new writ petition, i.e., W.P.(C). 13441/2023.

2.1 We are informed that the said writ petition is listed before the court on 19.04.2024.

ITA 140/2023

Page 1 of 2



3. Accordingly, list the above-captioned appeal on the same date, i.e., 19.04.2024.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

DECEMBER 19, 2023/ ms

Click here to check corrigendum, if any