



2026:CGHC:9524



2026:CGHC:9524

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 707 of 2026

Aman Sahu S/o Rajkumar Sahu Aged About 19 Years R/o Katkona,
Police Station Patna, District Koriya (C.G.)

... **Applicant(s)**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station-
Baikunthpur, District Koriya (C.G.)

---- **Non-applicant(s)**

For Applicant : None.

For Non-applicant/State : Ms. Anusha Naik, Deputy Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Order on Board

24/02/2026

1. None appears nor any representation has been made on behalf of the applicant to press this present bail application on the repeated calls. Hence, this Court proceeds to hear the matter with the assistance of the learned State Counsel.
2. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.119/2025, registered at Police Station Baikunthpur, District: Koriya (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 111, 317(2), 317(4), 317(5) of BNS.



3. Facts of the case are that on the basis of a letter received by the Additional Superintendent of Police from the office of the Inspector General of Police, SIV/CCTNS/Cyber Crime, Police Headquarters, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh, for taking legal action against the listed mule account holders of Bank of Maharashtra, Baikunthpur Branch, whose bank accounts were used for cyber fraud, Police Station Baikunthpur registered Crime No. 119/2025 against a total of 15 persons under Sections 111, 317(2), 317(4) and 317(5) of the B.N.S. During the course of investigation of the said offence, the applicant/accused Aman Sahu was arrested on 16.06.2025. The accused is presently detained in judicial custody in District Jail, Baikunthpur.

As per the case diary, co-accused Rajkumar and Satyam Kumar Gupta had opened bank accounts in Bank of Maharashtra. The applicant/accused Aman Sahu obtained the passbooks, ATM cards, etc., of the bank accounts of co-accused Rajkumar and Satyam and handed them over to co-accused Saurabh Sahu. The bank accounts of co-accused Rajkumar and Satyam were used for receiving cheated amounts from different States. The applicant/accused Aman Sahu, with the intention of earning illegal money, provided the bank accounts of the co-accused for use in online fraud offences to the concerned offenders/gang. As mentioned in the bank statements of Bank of Maharashtra, the bank account of co-accused Rajkumar was opened on 01.05.2024 and the bank account of co-accused Satyam was opened on 05.02.2024. After opening of the accounts, a total amount of



Rs.3,06,836/- was credited and Rs.3,06,833/- was debited in the bank account of co-accused Rajkumar. Similarly, a total amount of Rs.17,67,013/- was credited and Rs.17,61,771/- was debited in the bank account of co-accused Satyam Kumar. The applicant/accused Aman Sahu facilitated the commission of the serious offence of online fraud by making available the bank accounts of co-accused Rajkumar and Satyam, along with the account of co-accused Saurabh Sahu, for receiving amounts obtained through cyber fraud. Accordingly, aforesaid offences have been registered against the applicant along with co-accused.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail and submits that in compliance with the order of this Court dated 20.01.2026, the concerned Investigating Officer has filed a personal affidavit disclosing the evidence collected during the course of investigation against the applicant. It is submitted that upon detailed investigation, it has been found that the present applicant played a key role in the commission of the alleged offence by providing information relating to the bank accounts of villagers/co-accused persons to co-accused Saurabh Sahu and receiving commission from the proceeds of online financial fraud. It is further submitted that on the basis of the statements of the co-accused persons, the involvement of the present applicant in the crime in question along with other accused persons has been clearly established. Accordingly, Crime No.119/2025 has been registered against the applicant, he has been arrested and his memorandum statement has been recorded in presence of



witnesses. It is further submitted that considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence and the facts and circumstances of the case, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Baikunthpur, District Koriya (C.G.) has already rejected the bail application of the applicant vide order dated 16.09.2025. It is also submitted that the prosecution has collected documentary as well as other material evidence establishing the involvement of the present applicant in the alleged offence and the transaction details collected during investigation reveal that certain amounts were illegally transferred online into the bank accounts connected with the applicant, which prima facie establishes the commission of the alleged offence against him.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the State and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offence, material collected during the course of investigation and the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the State, this Court finds that the present applicant is alleged to have played an active and key role in facilitating the commission of organized cyber fraud by arranging and providing bank accounts of co-accused persons for receiving cheated amounts from different States and by obtaining commission from the proceeds of such illegal transactions. The case diary as well as the personal affidavit filed by the Investigating Officer disclose prima facie material, including memorandum statements of co-accused persons and transaction details, indicating the involvement of the present



applicant in the alleged offence. The allegations reveal that the offence has been committed in a planned and organized manner through cyber means and involves substantial financial transactions. Taking into consideration the seriousness and widespread nature of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the present applicant at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the applicant stands **rejected**.
8. Needless to say that the trial Court concerned is at liberty to proceed and conclude the trial expeditiously.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the learned trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**