



2026:CGHC:2990



2026:CGHC:2990

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 633 of 2026

Satyajit Majumdar S/o Shantiranjana Majumdar Aged About 39 Years R/o New Market P/S Mana Camp, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... **Applicant**

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through S.H.O. Police Station Telibandha District- Raipur (C.G.)

... **Non-applicant**

For Applicant	: Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Samiksha Gupta, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

19.01.2026

1. The defaults pointed out by the Registry of this Court are waived off.
2. This is the **First bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 670/2025 registered at Police Station : Telibandha, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 316(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. The prosecution story, in short, is that the applicant is an employee of Hotel Babylon International Private Limited, situated at VIP Road, Rajiv



Gandhi Marg, and was working as an accountant in the accounts department of the said hotel. The applicant, while holding the position of accountant, during the period from 2023 to 2025, transferred an amount of Rs. 7,56,886/- from the funds given by the hotel for making online payments to vendors into his own bank account bearing No. 611400100050309 maintained with Punjab National Bank, of which the applicant himself is the account holder, and thereby embezzled the said amount. He utilized the said amount for his personal use. When the hotel management became aware of the said transactions, they summoned the applicant and sought an explanation regarding the deposit of the said amount into his personal bank account and its purpose. Thereafter, the applicant admitted his mistake in writing, stating that he had transferred the said amount to his personal account and assured the management that he would repay the entire amount to the hotel within seven days. However, even after the lapse of seven days, the management did not receive the said amount. Subsequently, attempts were made to contact the applicant at his residence as well as on his mobile phone, but his mobile phone was found to be continuously switched off.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated due to rivalry at the workplace. The Applicant worked under the Complainant and discharged his duties honestly and diligently, which prevented him from pursuing any personal interests of the Complainant, thereby giving rise to a grudge against the applicant. He also submits that Hotel Babylon International Pvt. Ltd. deposited funds into the Applicant's account in order to evade income tax and sales tax. After the funds were deposited into his account, the Applicant made payments to the vendors. No complaint has been filed by



any vendor against the applicant at any police station, which clearly indicates that the funds received from Hotel Babylon International in the Applicant's account were used for payment to the vendors of the hotel and not for the Applicant's personal use. He also submits that applicant continued to render his services at his workplace from the year 2023 to 2025. During this period, no vendor filed any complaint before the hotel management alleging non-payment of dues, nor was any report lodged against the applicant by the organization at any police station. Further, no funds have been recovered from the Applicant/accused. He further submits that Complainant filed the complaint against the Applicant without conducting any proper investigation, solely with the intention to falsely implicate the Applicant in the alleged offence. He further submits that the present applicant has no criminal antecedents and he is in jail since 29.10.2025, conclusion of the trial may take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has been submitted before the competent Court in the present case and also endorse the submission made by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has no criminal antecedents.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case, nature and gravity of offence and further the fact that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, moreover, the charge-sheet has already been submitted in the present case before the competent Court and the present applicant has been in jail since 28.10.2025, trial is likely to take sometime for its conclusion, therefore, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to



be released on bail in this case.

8. Let applicant, **Satyajit Majumdar**, involved in Crime No. 670/2025 registered at Police Station : Telibandha, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 316(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond with two sureties** in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case,



2026:CGHC:2990

(ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Abhishek