



2026:CGHC:36698



CGHC010544822025



2026:CGHC:36698

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 45 of 2026

1 - Shobha Devi Kulmitra W/o Shri Swatantra Kulmitra, Aged About 62 Years Retired Teacher, R/o Nehru Chowk, Near I.G. Office, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur C.G.

... **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Collector Mungeli, District Mungeli C.G.

3 - The Land Acquisition Officer Cum Sub Divisional Officer (R) Mungeli, District Mungeli C.G.

4 - Tehsildar, Mungeli, District Mungeli C.G.

5 - Patwari, Halka No. 56, Mungeli, District Mungeli C.G.

6 - Water Resources Department, Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur C.G.

... **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chandrikaditya Pandey, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)/State	:	Mr. Soumitra Kesharwani, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

17/08/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following



reliefs:-

“10.1 That, This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow this writ petition and may kindly direct the respondent authority to disburse the award amount of Rs. 6,04,800/ with interest of 9% from the date of acquired land till today and may further direct the respondent authorities to comply within 15 days, in the interest of justice.

10.2 This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records of the instant land acquisition case and direct the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner.

10.3 Any other relief which this Hon'bie Court deem fit and proper may also kindly be granted to the petitioner in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not challenging any particular order but is seeking a direction from this Court to respondent No. 2, to decide the representation dated 15.10.2025 within a time frame fixed by this Court.
3. Learned counsel for State submits that in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for petitioner seeking direction to respondent-authorities to consider the pending representation, he is having no objection to the limited prayer.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case instead of keeping this petition pending, I find it appropriate to dispose of the same at this stage directing the concerned respondent No. 2 to consider/decide the representation of the petitioner dated 15.10.2025 in accordance with law/rules/regulations and in an objective manner as expeditiously as possible within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on the merits of the case.
7. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is **disposed of**.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge

Raghu Jat