



2026:CGHC:9321



2026:CGHC:9323

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 35 of 2026

Ranjeet Singh @ Ranjeet Singh S/o Amar Singh Aged About 34 Years R/o Satpata, Thana Vishrampur, District – Surajpur, C.G.

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Surajpur, District - Surajpur C.G.

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	: Mr. Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	: Ms. Anusha Naik, Deputy Government Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

23.02.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 323/2025, registered at Police Station – Surajpur, District - Surajpur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 413, 420 and 120(b) of Indian Penal Code.
2. The brief story of the prosecution is that the applicant, along with other co-accused persons, induced certain account holders/victims to provide their bank accounts, ATM cards, and mobile SIMs, which were subsequently misused in connection with an online cheating/cyber fraud case. It is further alleged that the said bank accounts and allied



instruments were utilized by unknown persons, resulting in an alleged financial loss of Rs. 2,23,393/-. On the basis of these allegations, the applicant has been implicated in the present case. Thereafter, the police registered FIR No. 323/2025 against the co-accused under Sections 413, 420, and 120(B) of the IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant is in jail since 13.11.2025. It is also submitted that the said offence has been registered as part of a conspiracy with mala fide intention, based on a false and fabricated story, and due to a personal grudge. Hence, the applicant has been falsely and maliciously implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has two previous criminal antecedents, which have also been listed today. It is further contended that the trial is likely to take a considerable period for its conclusion. Therefore, prayer has been made for grant of bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposed the bail application and submitted that the applicant, along with other co-accused persons, induced certain account holders/victims to provide their bank accounts, ATM cards, and mobile SIMs, which were subsequently misused in connection with an online cheating/cyber fraud case. It is further alleged that the said bank accounts and allied instruments were utilized by unknown persons, resulting in an alleged financial loss of Rs. 2,23,393/-, therefore, the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact



that that the applicant is in judicial custody since 13.11.2025, and the applicant has two previous criminal antecedents, which have also been listed today, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. Let the applicant - **Ranjeet Singh @ Ranjeet Singh**, involved in Crime No. 323/2025, registered at Police Station – Surajpur, District - Surajpur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 413, 420 and 120(b) of Indian Penal Code, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law,



2026:CGHC:9321

under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Preeti