



2026:CGHC:9321



2026:CGHC:9322

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 208 of 2026

Ranjeet Singh @ Ranjeet Singh S/o Amar Singh Aged About 34 Years R/o Satpata, Thana - Vishrampur, District - Surajpur, C.G.

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through - Police Station Surajpur, District - Surajpur, C.G.

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	: Mr. Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Anusha Naik, Deputy Government Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

23.02.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 302/2025, registered at Police Station – Surajpur, District - Surajpur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 420, 413, 120(B) of Indian Penal Code. (as per impugned order Annexure A/1).
2. The brief story of the prosecution is that based on several complaints lodged by the victims with the Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre, and following directions from higher authorities, the police of Police Station Surajpur initiated an investigation. During the investigation, the names of multiple co-accused surfaced, and the present applicant,



Ranjeet Singh @ Ranjeet Singh, was implicated solely on the basis of the memorandum statements of the co-accused. The applicant was arrested on 14.11.2025. It is alleged that the applicant arranged a bank account for monetary consideration. However, no direct complaint, transaction, or recovery has been made from the applicant connecting him to the alleged cyber fraud. Thereafter, the police registered FIR No. 302/2025 against the applicant and other co-accused under Sections 420, 413, and 120(B) of the IPC, as per the impugned order (Annexure A1). It is submitted that the said FIR has been lodged as part of a conspiracy with mala fide intention and personal grudge.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant argues that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the applicant has been implicated in the present case solely on the basis of the memorandum of the co-accused, and there is no connection of the applicant to the instant case. Furthermore, the name of the applicant has not been mentioned in the complaint. It is further submitted that The other co-accused persons, namely Suresh Kumar and Rantu, have already been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 20.08.2025 passed in MCRC No. 5695/2025. It is further submitted that the applicant has two previous criminal antecedents, which have also been listed today. The applicant is in judicial custody since 14.11.2025, and the trial is likely to take a considerable time for its conclusion. Therefore, learned counsel prays for grant of bail to the applicant on the ground of parity.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposed the bail application.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the



documents available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, and the fact that the applicant has been implicated in the present case solely on the basis of the memorandum of the co-accused, and there is no connection of the applicant to the instant case, further the other co-accused persons, namely Suresh Kumar and Rantu, have already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 20.08.2025 passed in MCRC No. 5695/2025, the applicant has two previous criminal antecedents, which have also been listed today, moreover the applicant is in judicial custody since 14.11.2025, and the trial is likely to take a considerable time for its conclusion, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case on the ground of parity.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. Let the applicant - **Ranjeet Singh @ Ranjeet Singh**, involved in Crime No. 302/2025, registered at Police Station – Surajpur, District - Surajpur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 420, 413, 120(B) of Indian Penal Code, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.



(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

**(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**