



2026:CGHC:10344



2026:CGHC:10344

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 10513 of 2025**

- Sunita Solanki W/o Nitesh Solanki Aged About 40 Years R/o Railway Station, Balangir, P.S. Balangir, District Balangir (Odisha) (The Actual Name And Address Of Applicant Is Sajni Bai Pardi Wife Of Rakesh Pardi, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Village Turakhapa, Post And Tahsil - Sohagpur, District - Sohagpur, Now- District- Narmadapuram (M.P.)

... Applicant**versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station- Singhoda, District- Mahasamund C.G.

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant : Mr. Shahid Ahmed Ansari, Advocate

For Respondent/State : Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****27.02.2026**

1. This is the second bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 36/2025 registered at Police Station- Singhoda, District Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 20(B) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.
2. The first bail application of the applicant was rejected on merits by this Court vide order dated 13.11.2025 passed in MCRC No. 8156 of



2025.

3. As per the prosecution story, in brief, on 15.04.2025 the police official were patrolling about contraband(Ganja) in vehicle no. CG04PC2687 to raid Illegal Contraband and received information at about 7:30 PM that 5 person having the Ganja and waiting for the bus at NH No.53 Village Ganayari Pali Chowk and after informed to the SDOP Saraypali the police official has reached to the Ganayari Pali Chowk and as per the information Four Female and one male person having Three bags were waiting for the bus and after seeing the Police officials they tried to run away but Police Official have caught all of them and asked from them that why they running away than all the accused person have accepted that they are having Ganja in their bags and they were carrying the Ganja from Odisha and it has to be sell in Raipur (C.G.) and upon asking their names they stated their names as 1 Sonam Bai Solanki W/O Jitendra Solanki, 2 Malti Solanaki W/o Rolex Solanki, 3 Sunita Solanki W/o Nilesh Solanki, 4. Deepali Pawar W/o Litti Pawar and Gurjar Pawar S/o Suklal Pawar and during the Checking 21 Packets (Total 21 Kg) Contrbend illegal Ganja seized from all of them and thereafter the alleged offences have been registered against the accused.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this is the second bail application of the applicant and the first bail application was rejected by this Court in MCRC No. 8156 of 2025 on 13.11.2025. He would submit that the applicant is in jail since 15.04.2025, charge sheet has been filed. He further submits that the co-accused person namely Smt. Malti Bai has already been granted bail, conclusion of the trial may take some time, therefore, he prays for releasing the applicant on regular bail.



5. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. From the perusal of the record, it transpires that this is the second bail application of the applicant, and the first bail application was rejected by this Court in MCRC No. 8156 of 2025 on 13.11.2025. Further the fact that the contraband article i.e. total 21 kgs of Ganja recovered from the possession of the present applicant and co-accused persons, which is above the commercial quantity. The ground raised in this second bail application is that the applicant has been in jail since 15.04.2025 and the co-accused namely Smt. Malti Bai has already been granted bail on the ground she has a child aged about one and a half years.
8. Recently the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Union of India (UOI) Vs. Vigin K. Varghese (Special Leave Petition (Cri.) Nos.7768 of 2025 and 11097 of 2025)** decided on 13.11.2025 has observed that in NDPS commercial-quantity cases, long incarceration cannot, by itself, be a ground for bail.
9. Considering the fact that the first bail application of the applicant was rejected on merits by this Court, further the fact that trial is in progress and also in the light of observation made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Vigin K. Varghese** (supra) that in NDPS commercial-quantity cases, long incarceration cannot, by itself, be a ground for bail, therefore I do not find any good ground to entertain this second bail application. Accordingly, the second bail application of the applicant- **Sunita Solanki**, involved in Crime No.36/2025 registered at Police Station- Singhoda, District Mahasamund (C.G.)



for the offence punishable under Section 20(B) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, is **rejected**.

10. However, this Court hopes and trusts that the trial Court shall make an earnest endeavour to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible within a period of **six months** from the receipt of certified copy of this order in accordance with law, if there is no legal impediment.
11. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Vaishali