



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 545 of 2026

RAMESH KUMAR SINGH **versus** BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Order Sheet

19/01/2026	Mr. B.N. Mishra, Advocate for the Petitioner. Dr. Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate for the Respondents No. 1, 2 & 4. Heard. Issue notice to the Respondents. Dr. Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the Respondents No. 1, 2 & 4. Hence, no process fee is required to be paid for these respondents. Dr. Sudeep Agrawal raises objection with regard to the impleadment of the respondents in their personal capacity, he submits that the enquiry proceedings have been concluded and the petitioner was inflicted with the order of punishment against which, appeal was also preferred by the petitioner and the same was turned down. No specific allegation or <i>mala fide</i> has been pleaded against the private respondents who have been impleaded in their personal capacity and therefore, the private respondents have been impleaded erroneously in the writ petition.

The submission of Dr. Sudeep Agrawal is vehemently opposed by the counsel for petitioner.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is though issuing notice, however, if the petitioner could not able to point out any reason for their personal impleadment as respondents during the course of arguments, appropriate orders would be passed to compensate those respondents.

Issue notice to the Respondents No. 3 & 5 to 9 on payment of process fee, as per rules. Notice be made returnable within 03 weeks.

List this case after 03 weeks.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey