



2026:CGHC:3976



2026:CGHC:3976

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No.10380 of 2025**

K.K. Shrivastava @ Krishn Kumar Shrivastava S/o Sankata Prasad Shrivastava Aged About 64 Years R/o Subhash Complex, First Floor, Rajeev Gandhi Chowk, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur C.G. --- **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Telibandha, District Raipur C.G. --- **Non-applicant**

For Applicant :Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Sakshi Chhabra, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State :Mr. Shashank Thakur, Addl. A.G along with Mr. SS Bahgel, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

22.01.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this 1st Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,



2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.525/2024 registered at Police Station – Telibandha, District Raipur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC and also under Section 283 of the BNS, 2023.

2. Briefly stated, the prosecution story is that FIR was lodged by one Ajay Kumar (complainant) on 06.08.2024, who is the Admin Manager of Rawat Associate. Arjun Rawat is the owner of said Rawat Associate. The FIR was lodged against the applicant and also against his son Kanchan Shrivastava alleging that they had approached Arjun Rawat in June 2023 to invest certain amount of money in a project to develop Raipur Smart City. It was alleged that the applicant informed Arjun Rawat that a politician's brother had secured a project worth Rs.500 crores build Raipur Smart City. It is further alleged that the applicant showed him certain fake documents relating to the above-named project. Allegedly, on the assurance of the applicant, he invested an amount of Rs.15 Crores in five different accounts between the period 10.07.2023 and 17.07.2023 with a hope that it would secure him full contract for the project, however, the contract was never granted and the complainant was defrauded for an amount of Rs. 15 crores. It is also alleged that after repeated



reminders to return the amounts, the applicant issued 03 cheques to Arjun Rawat to the tune of Rs. 3 crores each. When the cheques were presented for encashment, the same were dishonoured on the ground of 'stop payment'. The complainant also alleged that the applicant had prepared a fake memorandum in the name of Glomax India (Arjun Rawat's Company) and the Chhattisgarh Government. When Arjun Rawat demanded the applicant to return his money, he was threatened by the applicant that he along with his family would be killed. Hence, this application.

3. Learned Senior Advocate for the applicant submits that the present applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He submits that this is a pure civil dispute relating to business affairs which was tried to be given the colour of criminal act by lodging a false complaint. He further submits that there is no prima facie evidence on record to show that either the applicant has received any money or prepared any forged documents as alleged, the applicant is not associated with any of the Bank Accounts in which the complainant-Company has deposited money i.e. N.S.Enterprises, International, Suhana Enterprises, Arrowjet Enterprises and D.P. Ocean Enterprises, nor is he aware as to whom it belongs to. He further submits



that the applicant is an old person of 64 years suffering from age related ailments such as diabetes and high blood pressure and has also undergone Cataract operation apart from facing the Kidney Stone issue. He lastly submits that applicant is in jail since 24.06.2025, charge sheet has been filed and co-accused Ashish Shinde has already been enlarged on bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19.11.2025 passed in M.Cr.C. No.8073 of 2025, therefore, on the grounds of parity, the present applicant may also be granted bail by this court.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned Counsel for the parties, further considering that the applicant is an old man suffering from various ailments, also considering that co-accused Ashish Shinde has already been enlarged on bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19.11.2025 passed in M.Cr.C. No.8073 of 2025, the pre-trial detention, without further commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to grant bail to



him.

7. Let applicant- **KK Shrivastava** involved in Crime No. 525/2024 registered at Police Station – Telibandha, District Raipur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC and also under Section 283 of the BNS, 2023 be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** out of which, one surety shall be of his family member, in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in



2026:CGHC:3976

person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. This Court hopes and trusts that the concerned trial Court shall make an earnest endeavour to conclude the trial within a period of 6 months from today.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice