



2026:CGHC:10027



2026:CGHC:10027

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 421 of 2026**

- Tarlochan Singh S/o Shri Sandhu Singh Aged About 60 Years R/o Village- Bhojemajra, P.S. And Tehsil- Chamkaur Sahib, District- Rupnagar (Ropar), Punjab

... Applicant**versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate- Mahasamund, Distt- Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant	: Mr. Jaydeep Singh Yadav, Advocate
For Respondent/State	: Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****26.02.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.149/2018, registered at Police Station – Patewa, District – Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 409, 406, 120-B of IPC, Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 of Prize Chit Funds and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978 and Section 3, 6 and 10 of the Chhattisgarh Protections of Depositors Interest Act, 2005.



2. The case of the prosecution, is that facts involved in the present case is that it is in relation to the commission of criminal offences by the Company PACL Ltd., PGF Ltd., its directors and other related entities while operating Collective Investment Schemes (CIS) by taking deposits from the investors, in which the Applicant herein has been arrayed as one of the accused. It is submitted that after the FIR against the Directors and related entities, Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) had submitted the chargesheets and thereafter further several FIRS have been filed in various states. There were complaints filed in the state of Chhattisgarh as well, including FIR No.148/2018 before the P.S.- Patewa by one Devraj Sahu against one Manohar Sahu. It is pertinent to mention that the Applicant is not even named in the said FIR, hence there is no specific allegation and/or instance mentioned against him. Later after the completion of the investigation, the police then filed the charge-sheet under 420, 409, 406, 120 B of IPC, section 3,4,5,6 of Prize Chit Funds and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978 & section 3, 6 and 10 of the Chhattisgarh Protection of CAS Me anntore Ali). Depositors Interest Act, 2005. Significantly, the Applicant herein filed a regular bail application before the Ld. Sessions Court, Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh wherein the co-accused persons have been enlarged bail by this Hon'ble Court. However, the Ld. Trial Court, Mahasamund failed to take into consideration pertinent facts which clearly show that no offence was committed by the Applicant, and it dismissed the bail application on erroneous basis. Hence this bail application.
3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case and



there are total 32 criminal cases registered against the present applicant, out of which 16 cases are registered in the State of Chhattisgarh, in 10 of which the applicant has been released on bail. It is also contended that one co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in MCRCA No.1329 of 2018 vide order dated 31.10.2018 and other two co-accused persons have already been enlarged on bail by this Court in MCRC Nos.6859 of 2025 and 5313 of 2023 vide orders dated 01.09.2025 and 26.09.2025 respectively. Copy of the same are annexed herewith as Annexure-A/9 (colly). The charge-sheet has been filed in this case and the applicant is in jail since 12.08.2021 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant on the ground of parity.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that applicant is a resident of the State of Punjab and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case and there are total 32 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, out of which 16 cases are registered in the State of Chhattisgarh, in 10 of which the applicant has been released on bail, it appears that applicant is a habitual offender, therefore, applicant is not entitled for grant of regular bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that one co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in MCRCA No.1329 of 2018 vide order dated



31.10.2018 and other two co-accused persons have already been enlarged on bail by this Court in MCRC Nos.6859 of 2025 and 5313 of 2023 vide orders dated 01.09.2025 and 26.09.2025 respectively, the applicant is in jail since 12.08.2021 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application on the ground of parity.

7. Let applicant-**Tarlochan Singh**, involved in Crime No.149/2018, registered at Police Station – Patewa, District – Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 409, 406, 120-B of IPC, Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 of Prize Chit Funds and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978 and Section 3, 6 and 10 of the Chhattisgarh Protections of Depositors Interest Act, 2005 be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two local sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial



2026:CGHC:10027

court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Vaishali