



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 10366 of 2025

1 - Deepak Vishwakarma @ Ritik Vishwakarma S/o Bharat Vishwakarma Aged About 25 Years R/o Village - Gidhwa, Police Station - Arang, District - Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Vidhansabha, District - Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	: Ms. Divya Sahu, Advocate.
For State	: Mr. Akash Agrawal, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order on Board

20/01/2026

1. This is the **first bail** application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 99/2025 registered at Police Station Vidhansabha, District Raipur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 64, 137(2), 87 of BNS, 2023 and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act

and Section 3(2) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

- 2.** As per the prosecution's case, information was given at Police Station D.D. Nagar by a worker of the Open Shelter Home, Sunder Nagar, that on 03.10.2024, the accused had taken the 14-year-old victim to Bhopal and established physical relationship with her, due to which she became pregnant. Based on this, offence has been registered against the present applicant.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. She further submits that out of 16 listed witnesses, 3 witnesses, including the victim and her mother, have been examined, who have turned hostile and do not support the case of the prosecution. The applicant is in jail since 02.03.2025, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Therefore, she prays for the grant of regular bail to the applicant.
- 4.** On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposed the bail application and submitted that the applicant committed a heinous offence with a minor girl and the DNA test was found positive; therefore, the applicant is not entitled to bail.
- 5.** No one appeared on behalf of the victim, though notice has

been served.

6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also considering the statements of the victim and her mother, and also looking to the custody period of the applicant, the trial is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the application is **allowed** and it is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date to be given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial. It is made clear that any observation made by this Court in this order shall not affect the trial of the case.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge