



2026:CGHC:2924-DB



2026:CGHC:2924-DB

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****CRMP No. 3854 of 2025**

Atul Dansena S/o Shri Kartikeshwar Dansena, Aged About 23 Years R/o
Indira Nagar, P.S. Kotwali Raigarh, Tah. And Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. City Kotwali, Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
2. Ashok Jaiswal S/o Late Shri Narayan Prasad Jaiswal, R/o Laxmipur, Raigarh, P.S. City Kotwali, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

...Respondent(s)

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravindra Sharma, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge****Order on Board****Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice****19.01.2026**

1. Heard Mr. Ravindra Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Also heard Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the State/respondent No. 1.



2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayer:

“It is, therefore, prayed that this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the Criminal Miscellaneous Petition and quash the FIR/Crime No. 24 of 2025 registered as P.S. City Kotwali, Raigarh, District Raigarh for the offence punishable under Section 103(1) of BNS, charge-sheet No. 73 of 2025 filed for the offence punishable under Section 103(1), 238, 61(2), 331(8) and 3(5) of BNS, order of cognizance dated 03.05.2025 passed by learned Session Judge, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.), order of charge framed against the petitioner dated 19.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 103(1)/3(5), 238/3(5), 61(2), 331 (8)/3(5) of BNS and other consequential criminal proceedings pending before First Additional Session Judge Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.) registered as Session Trial No. 45 of 2025, in the interest of justice.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner was heard at length. During the course of arguments, it was fairly submitted that the trial is already in progress and the evidence of two prosecution witnesses has been recorded. Learned counsel, therefore, confined the relief and prayed for a direction to expedite the trial.

4. This Court has considered the submissions made and perused the record. The case relates to a double murder, involving serious and grave allegations. The Sessions Trial is of the year 2025 and is presently proceeding before the learned trial Court in accordance with law. No material has been placed on record to demonstrate any



deliberate delay or inaction on the part of the learned trial Court.

5. It is well settled that in serious offences, particularly those involving heinous crimes, the High Court should be slow in issuing directions for expeditious disposal unless exceptional circumstances are shown. In the present case, the trial has already commenced and is progressing in a normal manner. Therefore, no ground is made out for interference or for issuance of any direction to expedite the trial.

6. Upon due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds no merit in the present petition.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is **dismissed**.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice