



2026:CGHC:9529

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 428 of 2026

Satyanarayan Dubey S/o. Laxman Dubey Aged About 56 Years R/o. Village - Kuruva, Police Station - Singhanpuri Jungle, District - Kabirdham (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Borhamdev, District - Kabirdham (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	: Mr. Basant Kaiwartya, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Anusha Naik, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

24.02.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 28/2025 registered at Police Station Borhamdev, District Kabirdham (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 317(4), 318(2), 62(2), and 111(3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The case of the prosecution is that, upon perusal of the records of a mule account bearing No. 98705500082 of ICICI Bank, it was found that the account holder, M/s Borhamdeo Krishi Kendra, Village Chikhli, Post Rajnandgaon, had received amounts derived from cyber fraud in its



account during the years 2023 and 2024. Thereafter, an investigation was conducted, during which the account holder stated that the co-accused, Satyanarayan Dubey, had instructed him to provide bank account details and other documents. It was further stated that the commission received by them, i.e., Rs. 40,000/-, was equally distributed between the account holder, Namdeo Sahu, and Satyanarayan Dubey. Subsequently, upon receipt of a large amount in the said account, they allegedly requested the bank to hold the amount and changed the registered mobile number. Thereafter, the crime was registered and the present applicant was arrested.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He further submits that the applicant has no criminal antecedents. He also submits that the other co-accused person, namely Devendra Sahu, vide order dated 04.12.2025 passed in MCRC No. 7946 of 2025 has already been granted regular bail by this Court. Therefore, it is submitted that the present applicant is also entitled to be released on regular bail on the ground of parity.
4. On the other hand learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has already been submitted in the present case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly that the co-accused person, namely Devendra Sahu, vide order dated 04.12.2025 passed in MCRC No. 7946 of 2025 has already been granted regular bail by this Court, further considering that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court, that



the applicant has been in jail since 09.06.2025, and that the conclusion of the trial may take considerable time, this Court is of the view that the present applicant is entitled to be released on bail on the ground of parity.

7. Let the Applicant – **Satyanarayan Dubey**, involved in Crime No. 28/2025 registered at Police Station Boramdev, District Kabirdham (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 317(4), 318(2), 62(2), and 111(3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before



2026:CGHC:9529

the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Abhishek