



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 121 of 2026

SOHAIL MEMON *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

<u>14/01/2026</u>	Heard Mr. Rohit Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Vivek Sharma, learned Advocate General appearing for the respondents/State. Present is a petition by the petitioner, who challenged the arbitrary and illegal action of the respondent authorities in accepting the bid of the private respondent No. 4 and issuing the work order dated 29.08.2025 followed by the execution of the work agreement dated 02.09.2025 despite non-fulfillment of the mandatory eligibility conditions by the private respondent and secondly, the petitioner assailed the order dated 29.08.2025, whereby the petitioner's specific objection categorically highlighting the private respondent's lack of the requisite minimum one-year experience, has been rejected by a non-speaking and mechanical order, devoid of any reasoning. A preliminary objection has been raised by the learned Advocate General that, the tender was published on 05.08.2025 and the work order was issued to the private respondent No. 4 on 29.08.2025 and the agreement to that effect was executed on

02.09.2025. It is further stated that the present petition has been filed after a lapse of three months. It is also submitted that the tender was for a period of one year, and four months have already been passed.

On the submissions made by learned Advocate General, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, petitioner has raised an objection on 20.08.2025 regarding the grant of the tender to the private respondent No.4, thereafter the same was rejected by the respondents on 29.08.2025, thereafter he has sought certain documents under the RTI Act, and the same was provided to the petitioner in the month of November, 2025 and then the petitioner has filed the present petition on 05.12.2025, hence, there appears to be no such delay in challenging the work order issued to the private respondent No.4, but there appears to be no such document filed to show that, the documents supplied to him in November, 2025, for which he sought time to file the same.

Let this matter be listed in the next week.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice