

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 41 of 2026**

1 - Ramkumar Kashipuri S/o Amrit Lal Aged About 37 Years
R/o Village Mohanpur, Laingi, Thana Pasan, District Korba
Chhattisgarh

... Applicant**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Pasan, Distt. Korba
Chhattisgarh

... Respondent

For Applicant	: Mr. Vikas Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For Respondent/State	: Mr. Aman Tamboli, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**Order On Board****19/01/2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act, 2023 for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with crime No.120/2024, registered at Police Station – Pasan, District-Korba (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 103(1) of B.N.S.
2. The prosecution's case, in short, is that on 31.08.2024, complainant Santosh Das lodged a report that on 30.08.2024, his uncle Chain Singh informed him that his father, Ramdas, was lying on the ground on the Badka tola Road. When he went



to the spot, he found his father Ramdas's motorcycle lying on its side in the middle of the road and Ramdas's body was lying on the roadside. The body of the deceased was brought to PHC Pasan. Based on the report, merge inquiry was conducted. On the postmortem report, the death of the deceased was opined to be homicidal. During the investigation, a slipper found at the spot was given to a police dog to sniff, which led the dog to the house of applicant Ramkumar Kashipuri in Mohanpur. His memorandum statement was recorded, in which he confessed to killing Ramdas and an iron knife which was recovered. Based on the above, offence has been registered against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that only on the basis of memorandum statement the applicant has been arrested. He further submits that out of 23 listed witnesses, only 5 witnesses have been examined till date. The applicant is in jail since 01.09.2024 and the trial is likely to take time to be finalized. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
4. Per contra, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the bail application, submitting that a knife has been seized from the applicant, therefore, looking to the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and overall evidence collected by the prosecution against the applicant and also considering the fact that a knife has been seized from the applicant, at this stage, without commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, is **rejected**.



7. However, considering the fact that the applicant is in jail since 01.09.2024, and only 05 witnesses out of 23 witnesses have been examined till date, the concerned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and to ensure that the trial is concluded as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period 4 months from receipt of a copy of this order.
8. Office is directed to sent a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

H.L. Sahu