



2026:CGHC:10113



2026:CGHC:10113

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 13831 of 2025

Shantanu Ram S/o Shri Dauva Ram Aged About 32 Years Occupation - Unemployed, R/o Qtr. No. 35, Dhaurabhatha, Post- Dudhali, Tahsil And District- Balod (C.G.)

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Chief Engineer Rural Mechanical Service, 1st Floor Development Commissioner Office, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Navneet Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For Respondents - State	:	Mr. Rishabh Bisen, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

26/02/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

“10.1 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records pertaining to the case of the present petitioner from the respondent authorities concerned for kind perusal.

10.2 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner and appoint him on the post of Assistant Draftsmen (Civil) against the posts lying vacant for the ST category candidates, in the interest of justice.



10.3 The Hon'ble High Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief(s), as it may deemed fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case in favour of the petitioner, in the interest of justice."

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he is not pressing this writ petition on merits and is only seeking direction to respondent no. 2 to consider and take decision on representation submitted by petitioner dated 10.05.2023. He further submits that in the facts of the case, he may be permitted to submit fresh representation before respondent no. 2 and direction be issued to take decision on it within a specified time frame.
3. Learned counsel for State submits that in view of limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner, he is having no objection.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with this writ petition.
5. On due consideration of submission made by learned counsel for the respective parties, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition at this stage, permitting petition to submit fresh comprehensive representation before respondent no. 2 and in the event petitioner submit such representation, it shall be considered and decided by the concerned authority, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of 03 months from the date of receipt of representation.
6. With the aforesaid direction, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge