



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 6296 of 2025

1 - Aruna Baghel D/o Late Shri Pratap Singh Rana Aged About 66 Years
R/o Chandani Chowk Ward No. 10, Behind Gils Middle School, P.S. And
Tahsil - Marwahi District- Gourela-Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.) (Mentioned In
Dispossession Warrant/notice As Aruna Rana)

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Revenue And Disaster
Management Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar,
District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Commissioner Bilaspur Division District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

3 - The Collector District- Gourela-Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.)

4 - The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Pendra-Road District- Gourela-
Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.)

5 - The Tahsildar Marwahi District- Gourela-Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.)

... **Respondent(s)**

BALRAM
PRASAD
DEWANGAN

Digitally signed by
BALRAM PRASAD
DEWANGAN
Date: 2025.12.02
16:19:05 +0530

Order on Board

02/12/2025	Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, counsel for petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Govt. Advocate for State-respondents No.1 to 5. Heard. Issue notice to respondents. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents, hence, process fee is not required to be paid for issuance of notice. He prays for and is granted three weeks time to file reply. Also heard on I.A. No.1, which is an application for grant of interim relief. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that respondent No.5 has issued a warrant of eviction dated 14.11.2025 mentioning that notice is issued pursuant to the order dated 20.03.2025, passed by the C.G. Board of Revenue, Bilaspur. In the order passed by the Board of Revenue (Annexure P-5), khasra numbers of land mentioned are 623/1 and 632/2, whereas, the petitioner is in possession of the land bearing Kh. No.628/2, therefore, the eviction warrant itself is bad and contrary to the order passed by the Board of Revenue. Learned State counsel would submit that according to his instruction, due to typographical error, khasra number of the land has been erroneously mentioned in the order of Board of Revenue, whereas, khasra number of the land in original proceeding is Khasra No. 628. He further submits that he may be granted time to file reply to the interim

application also.

On due consideration on the submission of learned counsel for respective parties, purely as an interim measure it is directed that eviction proceedings against petitioner initiated pursuant to Annexure P-6/eviction warrant shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing.

List this case in the last week of January, 2026.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge