



2026:CGHC:3424



2026:CGHC:3424

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 513 of 2026**

- Rahul Subhash Markad S/o Subhash Babu Rao Markad Aged About 40 Years R/o Revenue Colony, Ashirwad Nagar, Ahmad Nagar, District Ahmad Nagar (Maharashtra)

... Applicant**versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer, Police Station- Purani Basti, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

 For Applicant : Mr. Vivek Mishra, Advocate

 For Respondent/State : Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****20/01/2026**

- This is first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.305/2025 registered at Police Station- Purani Basti, District - Raipur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.
- The prosecution story in brief, is that on the basis a written complaint alleging that a total amount of Rs.87,95,000/- was



fraudulently transferred through RTGS and paytm from the complainant's bank account, it was found that the applicant/accused's Bank of Maharashtra Account No. 60521413838 was used for managing the fraudulently. Therefore, the obtained money. applicant/accused was shown to have been arrested. On the basis of aforesaid written complaint, Crime No. 305/2025 was registered at Police Station - Purani Basti, Raipur for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and section 66(D) of The Information Technology Act, 2000 and the matter was taken up for investigation. During the investigation, evidence was also collected regarding the fact and the applicant/accused was arrested and after completion of investigation, a charge-sheet was filed on 16.10.2025. The final charge sheet indicates that investigation to trace and arrest other co-accused persons is still pending.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the present case, the prosecution has framed a false and concocted story against the present applicant. He further submits that no recovery is pending from the applicant, as all alleged transactions were online through Paytm and the police have already collected the necessary evidence, including bank statements and digital records, during the investigation. He further submits that applicant is in jail since 03.11.2025 and the trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail and submits that in compliance of this Court's order dated 15.01.2026, concerned Investigating Officer has been filed his



reply in the present case wherein he submits that during the course of investigation there are eight complaints have been reported by the various victims from the various States of the country i.e., Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka and Telangana regarding online fraud with the innocent people of the country in the National Cyber Crime reporting Coordination Portal/1930 regarding the Bank Account No.60521413838 of the present accused/applicant, and details are mentioned in reply filed by the Investigating Officer at paragraph No.7. Hence, the present applicant is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the fact and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, allegation levelled against the present applicant and also considering the fact that there are eight complaints have been reported by the various victims from the various States of the country i.e., Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka and Telangana regarding online fraud with the innocent people of the country in the National Cyber Crime reporting Coordination Portal/1930 regarding the Bank Account No.60521413838 of the present accused/applicant, it appears that applicant is a habitual offender and it is a organized crime, thus this Court is of the view that it is not a fit case to release the applicant on bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant namely **Rahul Subhash Markad**, involved in Crime No.305/2025 registered at Police Station- Purani Basti, District - Raipur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita,



2023 and Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, is rejected at this stage.

8. Needless to say that the trial Court concerned is at liberty to proceed and conclude the trial expeditiously.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

vaishali