



2026:CGHC:3377



2026:CGHC:3377

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 9713 of 2025**

- Ravipati Rajesh Kumar S/o Shri Hari Aged About 41 Years R/o Through Saroj Kumar Mishra, Beside Cmo Residence, Near Bus Stand, Ajaygarh, Post And Tehsil Ajaygarh, District Panna M.P.

... Applicant**versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Mahasamund, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant : Mr. Gyanendra Singh Baghel, Advocate
 For Respondent/State : Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****20.01.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 316/2025, registered at Police Station – Mahasamund, District – Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 3(5), 3(6), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) and 66D of IT Act.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that on dated 22.07.2025, FIR was registered on the complaint of one Hemlata Sahu who stated that she



is a social worker, on 08.07.2025 she received a phone call on her mobile number 9425530055 from an unknown number and the caller told her that he is speaking from office of crime branch kolawa Mumbai. The caller stated that in a money laundering case, Reserved Bank of India has issued a notice against her and by threatening her a total amount of Rs. 33,99,734/- has been taken from her by electronic deposits on mobile number 9032535747, 8787418135 and also on 02 banks account of Bank of Baroda and HDFC Bank. The caller had inform the complainant that after depositing the money she will not be arrested and after a few days she received a letter on Whatsapp wherein it was mentioned that she has been acquitted and given clean chit in the matter. After that she made several attempts for demanding her money back by calling on the above- mentioned number but the unknown callers did not received her calls. On the basis of such complaint FIR was registered and during the investigation the police authorities have found that the account of Bank of Baroda number 40560200000524 belongs to one Dishan Infra Industrial Area Katni, and the present applicant is the proprietor of the such firm and therefore he was arrested. Hence, this bail application.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there is no criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. The applicant is in jail since 28.07.2025 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail



application and submits that applicant is a resident of the State of Madhya Pradesh and there is no criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that there is no any criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant, the applicant is in jail since 28.07.2025 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.
7. Let applicant, **Ravipati Rajesh Kumar**, involved in Crime No. 316/2025, registered at Police Station – Mahasamund, District – Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 3(5), 3(6), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) and 66D of IT Act, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two local sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient



cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. However, this Court hopes and trusts that the trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from the date of passing of this order, if there is no legal impediment.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

vaishali