



2026:CGHC:3471

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 13075 of 2025

Shankar Podiyam S/o Late Guddi Podiyam Aged About 38 Years R/o Village-
Jhodiabalam, Kunjampara, District Dantewada C.G.

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Chief Secretary, Mantralaya Mahanadi
Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

2 - Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Raipur, District
Raipur C.G.

3 - Secretary, Department Of Scheduled Tribe Development, Mantralaya,
Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

4 - Assistant Commissioner Department Of Scheduled Tribe Development,
District Dantewada C.G.

5 - Collector, District South Bastar Dantewada C.G.

6 - District Education Officer, District South Bastar Dantewada C.G.

7 - Block Education Officer Gidam, District South Bastar Dantewada C.G.

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Rajni Soren, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Anmol Sharma, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

20/01/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition, seeking following reliefs:-

“1. Direct the respondents to transfer the petitioner to the Department of School Education, Government of Chhattisgarh;

2. Direct the respondents to grant service benefits to the petitioner in the department of School Education as per the seniority counted from the date of initial appointment in the department of Scheduled Tribe Development;

3. Pass any other order the Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was initially appointed as peon and posted at Middle School Samloor Gidam vide order dated 16.05.2011. Petitioner thereafter was attached to Pre Matric Boys Hostel Kasoli vide order dated 26.09.2012 issued by Block Educational Officer Gidam, South District Bastar, Dantewada. Subsequently, pursuant to the policy decision taken by State Government, all the schools have been absorbed in School Education Department. The employees working in schools of tribal department and others have been absorbed in the school department, however, petitioner though was appointed initially and working in school has not been absorbed. Petitioner thereafter, has submitted representation

before respondent no. 4 and 5, however, till date no decision has been taken.

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that in view of limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner, he is having no objection.
4. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the respective parties and nature of grievance as raised by petitioner, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition permitting petitioner to submit fresh comprehensive representation before respondent no. 4 and 5 raising all the grounds as raised in this writ petition and in the event petitioner submit such representation, it shall be considered and decided by respondent no. 4 and 5 in an objective manner, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of two months from the date of receipt of representation.
5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Alfiza