



2026:CGHC:3981

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9036 of 2025

1 - Suraj Kumar S/o Khelanram Aged About 21 Years R/o Ward No. 51, Near Soni Dharamsala, Chatidih, Police Station- Sarkanda, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Sukhsagar Kaiwarth S/o Late Kejuram Kaiwarth Aged About 22 Years R/o Ward No. 51, Sonabai Bada, Rapta Chowk, Chatidih, Police Station- Sarkanda, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Siraj Khan S/o Salim Khan Aged About 22 Years R/o House No. 17, Ward No. 50, Behind Dls College, Ashok Nagar, Police Station- Sarkanda, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4 - Mansu Dahire S/o Devprasad Aged About 21 Years R/o Ward No. 51, Ramayan Chowk, Infront Of Hanuman Mandir, Chatidih, Police Station Sarkanda, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh **--- Applicants**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station- Deendayal Upadhyay Nagar (D. D. Nagar), District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh. **---- Non-applicant**

MCRC No. 9602 of 2025

Sahil Kaushik S/o Shatrughan Kaushik Aged About 23 Years R/o
Village - Khamariya, Post - Kathakoni, P.S. - Sakri, Distt. Bilaspur
(C.G.) **---Applicant**

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Station House Officer, Police Station -
Deendayal Nagar, District - Raipur (C.G.) **--- Non-applicant**

For respective Applicants : Mr. Ravi Kumar Bhagat & UKS
Chandel, Advocates.

For Non-applicant/State : Mr. Priyank Rathi, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

22.01.2026

1. The applicants have preferred this 1st Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as they have been arrested in connection with Crime No.424/2025 registered at Police Station – Deendayal Upadhyay (DD Nagar) District – Raipur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 111 and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. Prosecution story in brief is that the complainant has made written report alleging that unknown persons have created

account on 'Social Media Platform/sites (Facebook/Instagram/YouTube)' named as 'Jeewan Jodi Matrimonial and Royal Rishtey.com' in the name of Vinita Manikpuri and Devkinandan Kaushik, who have posted photos of girls and also put mobile number, and persuaded various complainants to deposit amount. That as per F.I.R. total number of Bank Accounts operated by one Sahil Kumar Kaushik is 79 with HDFC Bank at Sundar Nagar Branch wherein total Rs. 17,97,790/- has been collected. On a report made in Cyber Portal, the instant crime has been registered and during investigation, it has been found that the present applicants have been employed by one 'Bhaskar' for uploading data in the social media platform. The main co-accused i.e. Sahil Kumar Kaushik has created two accounts i.e. Account No.50200098392006 in the name of wife Vinita Manikpuri and 50200090744395 in the name of Brother i.e. Devkinandan Kaushik. Based on such report, the aforesaid offences have been registered by the police against the present applicants. Hence, this application,.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the present applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. Mr. Bhagat submits that the applicants were only under the employment of co-accused, who was

running the matrimonial site and Mr. Chandel submits that the said matrimonial is in the name of the Applicant's wife and brother. They further submit that the applicants are of a tender age, they are in jail since 04.10.2025 and 05.10.2025 respectively and conclusion of trial is likely to take quite some more time, therefore, they may be granted bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, further considering the tender age of the applicants, their detention period, without further commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to grant bail to them. Accordingly, the bail applications are **allowed** and the applicants are directed to be released on bail.
7. Let applicants **Suraj Kumar, Sukhsagar Kaiwarth, Siraj Khan, Mansu Dahire** and **Sahil Kaushik**, involved in connection with Crime No.424/2025 registered at Police Station – Deendayal Upadhyay (DD Nagar) District – Raipur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 111 and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 be released on bail on their

furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** each in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant/s shall file an undertaking to the effect that he/they shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant/s shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his/their absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him/them under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant/s misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his/thier presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant/s fail/s to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him/them, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant/s shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him/them in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Priya