



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 2384 of 2025

JITENDRA @ JEET PATRA **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

19/01/2026	Smt. Seema Singh, counsel for the appellant. Mr. Aman Tamboli, PL for the State. Record of the trial Court is received. Heard on I.A. No. 01/2025, application under Section 430 of B.N.S.S. for suspension of sentence and grant of bail. By the impugned judgment dated 28.10.2025 passed by learned Special Judge (N.D.P.S. Act), Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.) in Special Criminal (N.D.P.S.) Case No. 127/2022, the appellant has been convicted and sentenced as mentioned below : <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Conviction</th><th style="text-align: center;">Sentence</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Under Section 22(B) of N.D.P.S. Act</td><td style="text-align: center;">R.I. for 5 years and fine of Rs.50,000/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 6 months.</td></tr> </tbody> </table> Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. She further submits that there is no material on	Conviction	Sentence	Under Section 22(B) of N.D.P.S. Act	R.I. for 5 years and fine of Rs.50,000/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 6 months.
Conviction	Sentence				
Under Section 22(B) of N.D.P.S. Act	R.I. for 5 years and fine of Rs.50,000/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 6 months.				

record on the basis of which appellant's conviction can be sustained. She further submits that the prosecution has failed to prove the entire prosecution case beyond reasonable doubt. She next submits that Appellant was detained in judicial custody during trial from 22.08.2022 to 09.12.2022 and from the date of pronouncement of judgment dated 28.10.2025 till date and disposal of this appeal is likely to take considerable time, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail and supported the impugned judgment.

I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature and gravity of offence, the material collected and available on record against the applicant, I do not find it appropriate to release the sappellant on bail.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 01/2025 is **rejected**.

List this case **in the month of March, 2026**.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)

Judge