



2026:CGHC:10422

2026:CGHC:10422
NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 13261 of 2025**

1 - Raj Kumar Pandey S/o Late H.P. Pandey Aged About 58 Years R/o H.No. F-2/2, Forest Colony, Jarhabhata, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur C.G.

--- **Petitioner(s)****versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur District Raipur C.G.

2 - Principal Chief Converter Of Forest Officer And Head Of Forest Force, Aaranya Bhawan, Sector 19, North Block, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur District Raipur C.G.

3 - Chief Converter Of Forest Officer, Forest Circle, Bilaspur C.G.

4 - District Forest Officer, Forest Circle, Bilaspur C.G.

5 - Incharge Officer, Flying Squad, Forest Circle, Bilaspur C.G.

--- **Respondent(s)**

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

For Petitioner : Mr. K.P.S. Gandhi, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Mr. Khulesh Sahu, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**Order On Board****27/02/2026**

1. Petitioner has filed this petition seeking following relief (s) :-

“10.1 Quash and set-aside the impugned orders (Annexure P-1) relates to denied the travel allowances for the said periods issued by the Divisional Forest Officer, Bilaspur Forest Division, whereby the claim of the petitioner for grant of TA/DA has been arbitrarily rejected.



10.2 Direct the respondents to grant and release the admissible Travel Allowance and Daily Allowance (TA/DA) for the petitioner's, in accordance with the rules, circulars, and past departmental practice.

10.3 Pass any other order (s) as may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, including cost of this petition."

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that at this stage, he is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to respondent authorities to take decision on the representation submitted by petitioner (Annexure P-4) dated 28.07.2025 at the earliest within specified time frame.
3. Learned State counsel submits that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner, he is having no objection.
4. On due consideration on the submission of learned counsel for parties, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation before respondents No.2 and 3 pleadings all the facts and grounds as raised in the writ petition along with supporting documents and if such representation is submitted, the concerned authority shall consider and take decision on the representation to be submitted by petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously preferably within further period of three months, from the date of receipt of representation.
5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge