



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 2277 of 2025

- Ramprasad S/o Sudama Baiga, Aged About 45 Years, R/o- Ward No.- 02,
Chanwaridand, Manendragarh, P.S. - Manendragarh, District - M.C.B. (C.G.)

... Appellant

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Manendragarh, District - M.C.B.
(C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

28/02/2026	Mr. Prahalad Panda, Advocate for the Appellant. Ms. Priya Sharma, PL for the State/respondent. Heard on admission. Admit. Also heard on I.A. No. 01/2025, application under Section 430 (2) of BNSS for suspension of sentence and grant of bail. By the impugned judgment dated 19.09.2025 passed by
-------------------	---

learned Additional Sessions Judge, Manendragarh, District-Koriya (C.G.) in Sessions Case No. 76/2024, the appellant has been convicted and sentenced as under:-

Conviction	Sentence
U/s 105 of BNS.	R.I. for 07 years and fine of Rs. 500/-, in default of payment of fine amount additional R.I. for 01 year.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated. He contends that no direct evidence links the appellant to the crime, the prosecution witnesses did not support the case and the body was found in an open place. The appellant has been in jail since 10.07.2024, serving about 1 year and 07 months of his sentence and the disposal of this appeal is likely to take considerable time, therefore, considering all these facts the jail sentence of the appellant may be suspended till the final disposal of the appeal and the appellant be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application, submitting that the appellant assaulted his wife, Phool Bai, with a wooden stick (lathi), causing multiple injuries that led to her death. Her body was found in their residence, and pursuant to his memorandum statement, the wooden stick was seized; the

	F.S.L. report confirms the presence of blood stains on it, therefore, considering the nature and gravity of offence, the appellant should not be granted bail. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature and gravity of the offence and the material available on record against the appellant, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the appellant. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01/2025 is rejected. List this case for final hearing after six weeks. Sd/- (Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal) Judge
--	---