



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5793 of 2025

1 - Dr. Krishna Kumar Verma S/o Late Sukhi Ram Verma Aged About 64 Years Retired Technical Officer, Pt. Ravi Shankar Shukla University Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh R/o 54/464, In Front Of Dilip Yadu Complex, Gayatri Nagar, Danganiya, Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Skill Development, Technical Education And Employment, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Chhattisgarh Lok Aayog Through Its Secretary, Gandhi Chowk, Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Director Technical Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh

4 - The Registrar Chhattisgarh Swami Vivekananda Technical University Bhilai, P.O. Newai - Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh Pin Code 491107

5 - Shri Jitendra Kumar Sahu S/o Not Known To The Petitioner (Fathers Name Is Not Declared By Complainant Itself) C/o Patharri Para, Sahu Basti, Ward No. 17, Near Vidyut Grah School Korba, District - Korba Chhattisgarh Pin Code 495677 (Complainant) (Mob - 9179697845)

6 - Chouksey Engineering College Through Its Principal, Lal Khadan Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh (I S O 9001/2008 Certified Institute Affiliated By C.S.V.T.U. Bhilai Chhattisgarh)

.. Respondent(s)

Order on Board

07/11/2025	Mr. Avinash K. Mishra, counsel for petitioner. Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, G.A. for State-respondents No.1 & 3. Mr. A.K. Samantray, Advocate appears through V.C. with Ms. Pooja Yadav, counsel for respondent No.2. Mr. Neeraj Choubey, counsel for respondent No.4. Heard. Issue notice to respondents. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 3, Mr. Samantray accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and Mr. Choubey accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4, hence, process fee is not required to be paid for issuance of notice to these respondents. They pray for and is granted three weeks time to file reply. On payment of process fee, issue notice to respondent No.5 & 6, as per rules making it returnable within three weeks. Also heard on I.A. No.1, which is an application for grant of interim relief. On due consideration on the submission of learned counsel for petitioner and further considering that recommendation made by respondent No.2 for initiating departmental proceeding is after about 2 years of the date of

Balram	superannuation of petitioner, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that effect and operation of the impugned order (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2, shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing. List this case after four weeks. Sd/- (Parth Prateem Sahu) Judge
--------	---