



2026:CGHC:19861

2026:CGHC:19861
NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****CONT No. 1494 of 2024**

1 - Shubham Upadhayay S/o Late Shri Ramesh Kumar Upadhayay Aged About 33 Years Working As Track Maintainer, Secr /bsp, R/o Devrikhurd, Ward No 43, Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2 - Saroj Kumar S/o Late Shri Rambilas Mahato Aged About 31 Years Working As Helper -11/secr/bsp, R/o Qtr No. 1511/1 Wireless Colony, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3 - J. Anil S/o J. Malaya Aged About 39 Years Working As Track Maintainer, R/o Near Rubandha Sector Block No. 114b Hscl Colony, Bhilai Nagar, District Durg 490006 (Applicants)

--- Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - Milind K Deouskar The Secretary, Railway Board, Ministry Of Railways, Rail Bhawan, Raisena Road, Rafi, Marg, New Delhi-110001

2 - Alok Kumar General Manager, S.E.C.R. New Building Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh 495001

3 - Pradeep Mishra Chairman, Railway Recruitment Cell, S.E.C.R., Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

-- Respondent(s)

(The cause title is taken from CIS)

For Petitioners	: Mr. Shayon Kar, Advocate
For Respondents	: Mr. Ramakant Mishra, DSGI with Ms. Shweta Rai, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**Order On Board****29/04/2026**

1. This contempt petition is filed alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 17.10.2024 passed in WPS No.5116 of 2023 and other connected matters.



2. Learned counsel for respondents submits that order passed in writ petition is put to challenge in SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court has issued notice condoning the delay vide order dated 20.02.2026. He also pointed out that Hon'ble Supreme Court taking into consideration that contempt petition is filed and pending before the High Court have also stayed the proceedings of contempt petition.
3. In the aforementioned facts of the case, I find it appropriate to dispose of this contempt petition at this stage considering that respondents/department of railway is bound to follow the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the pending petition, reserving liberty with petitioner to file appropriate proceedings, if any, exigency arises in future.
4. Accordingly, the contempt proceeding initiated against respondents is closed as of now.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge