



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 8520 of 2024

KAWAL SAY *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
Order Sheet

06/01/2025	Mr. D.N. Prajapati, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Prateek Tiwari, Panel Lawyer for the State. Ms. Akansha Jain, Advocate for Respondent No. 2. Since respondents No. 1, 3 and 4 are represented by State and respondent No. 2 is represented through Ms. Akansha Jain, no notice is required to be issued. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been appointed as coordinator at Cluster Centre, Bagda, Block – Pratappur, District – Surajpur, however, without any reason his services has been returned in his original place of posting.
-------------------	--

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that the order for the post of coordinator was passed only for two years, therefore, the petitioner has rightly been relieved from the work of coordinator.

Considering the fact that in place of the petitioner no one has been appointed as coordinator, in the said Cluster Center yet. Accordingly, effect and operation of impugned order dated 12.12.2024 is hereby stayed till the next date of hearing and the petitioner is directed to work on the said post.

Meanwhile, learned counsel for the respective respondents are directed to file their reply within three weeks.

List this case thereafter.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
LUCKY NAGARIA
Date: 2025.01.08
18:20:28 +0530

vaishali