



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

FA No. 249 of 2024

AJAY SHUKLA *versus* VISHWAJEET DAS GUPTA

Order Sheet

13.11.2025	Mr. Shyam Kumar, counsel for the appellant. Service notice of respondent No. 1 is received unserved due to incomplete address. None present for respondent No. 2, though served. Mr. Sourabh Sharma, counsel for the respondent Nos. 3 and 4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that as the earlier notice was unserved to respondent No.1 due to incomplete address and improper address, as such, in this regard, he wants to file an appropriate application for substitution of service of notice upon him. As prayed, list this case after two weeks. Sd/- (Rajani Dubey) Judge Sd/- (Amitendra Kishore Prasad) Judge
AMIT PATEL	