



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 11924 of 2025

- Vikash Kumar Dewangan S/o Shri Indresh Kumar Dewangan Aged About 36 Years R/o Govt. Veterinary Dispensary Armikala Block Gurur, District Balod, C.G.

... Petitioner

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Animal Husbandry Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.
2. Deputy Secretary State Of C.G. Animal Husbandry Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.
3. Deputy Director Veterinary Services, Deputy Director Office, District Balod C.G.
4. Transfer Committee Through Its Chairman Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

15.10.2025	Ms. Veethika Choubey, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Saini, learned Panel Lawyer

for the State.

Heard on IA No. 02/2025, application for grant of interim relief.

The petitioner who is working as Assistant Veterinary Medical Field Officer at Veterinary Hospital Amrikala, Block Gurur, District - Balod (C.G.) has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India assailing his transfer order dated 30.06.2025 by which the petitioner has been transferred from Veterinary Hospital Amrikala, Block Gurur, District - Balod (C.G.) to Veterinary Hospital Barda, District - Kanker (C.G.) on administrative ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's son who is aged about 6 years is suffering from Autism disease and he is getting regular treatment at Dhamtari by doing some physiotherapy he is improving, therefore, she would pray for stay of the transfer order dated 30.06.2025.

Per contra, learned State counsel opposing the submission and would submit that the personal difficulty is not subject matter to examine before this Court and the

petitioner has already retain there for such a long period, therefore, he cannot claim continue to be there for entire service period.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with utmost circumspection.

Considering the submission that the petitioner's son is suffering from Autism disease, the effect and operation of the impugned transfer order dated 30.06.2025 so far it relates to the petitioner remain stayed for 4 weeks. In the meanwhile the petitioner will make a representation within 3 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, thereafter, the respondent shall decide the representation in accordance with law and keeping the view in the light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **S.K. Nausad Rahaman & others Vs. Union of India & others** reported in **2022 (12) SCC 1**, the State shall consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within 4 weeks from the date of submission of representation.

It is directed that the petitioner shall submit his representation within the time period given by this Court,

	failing which the interim order passed by this Court shall stand vacated. Even if the petitioner has been relieved, he will be allowed to join duty. List this case after four weeks. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) Judge
--	---