



2026:CGHC:26940



2026:CGHC:26940
NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5676 of 2022

Ramniwas Jain S/o Shri Banarsi Das Aged About 70 Years R/o Village-Lailunga, Tahsil Lailunga District Raigarh, Civil And Revenue District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

... **Petitioner**

versus

- 1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Revenue Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 2 - The Collector Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
- 3 - The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Lailunga, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
- 4 - The Tahsildar Lailunga District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
- 5 - The Divisional Forest Officer Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
- 6 - The Forest Range Officer Lailunga, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

... **Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For State/Respondents	:	Mr. Shreyansh Mehta, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge

Order on Board

01.07.2026

1. By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for following relief(s):-

"10.1 That the Hon'ble Court be pleased to call for the entire records of the petitioner's case from the court below.



10.2 That the Hon'ble Court may be further pleased to direct Respondent authority to grant compensation of the subject land bearing Khasra No.103/282/2 Rakba 2.023 hectare to the petitioner within limited period.

10.3 That the Hon'ble Court may be further pleased to direct Respondent authority to consider and decide the representation dated 22.11.2022 (Ann.P/3) submitted by the petitioner within stipulated period.

10.4 Any other order that the Hon'ble Court deems fit and necessary in the circumstances of the case be also passed.

10.5 That the cost of the petition be also awarded to the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has expired. It is submitted that, at present, the legal representatives do not intend to pursue the present petition and seek permission to withdraw the same. It is further prayed that liberty be reserved in favour of the legal representatives to institute appropriate proceedings claiming compensation, if so advised, in accordance with law.
3. Learned State counsel does not oppose the aforesaid prayer.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty reserved in favour of the legal representatives of the deceased petitioner to avail such remedy as may be available to them in



2026:CGHC:26940

law, including by instituting appropriate proceedings for grant of compensation, if so advised.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Yogesh