



2026:CGHC:9408

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

W.P.(S). No. 9277 of 2022

Chungath Unnikrishnan Vs. State of C.G.

<u>04/01/2023</u>	Mr. Ravish Verma, counsel for petitioner. Mr. Suyash Dhar Badgaiya, P.L. for State-respondents. Heard. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner was initially appointed as daily wager stenographer. Subsequently, petitioner was regularized in service and stood retired on 30.04.2022, however, the period of service which petitioner performed prior to regularization was not taken into consideration for granting pensionary benefits. He submits that identical issue came up for consideration before this Court in Writ Appeal No.281 of 2013 (Lekhanram Sahu Vs. State of C.G.) along with other connected appeals and the writ appeals were allowed in favour of appellants therein. The order passed in the aforesaid writ appeals were challenged by State before Hon'ble Supreme Court, which was dismissed vide order dated 03.03.2017. Case of petitioner is squarely covered with the decision passed in writ appeals.



2026:CGHC:9408

Learned State counsel submits that he may be granted short time to seek instructions in the matter.

As prayed by learned State counsel, list this case n the week after next.

**Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge**

balram