



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 2024 of 2025

Belas Ram S/o Kalayn Singh Aged About 38 Years R/o Panchvati Badhnipara Thana
Ramanujnagar, Civil And District- Surajpur, C.G. **... Appellant(s)**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Arakshi Kendra- Ramanujnagar, Civil And District-
Surajpur, C.G. **...Respondent(s)**

Order Sheet

24.04.2026	By the impugned judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 30.07.2025 passed in ST-08 of 2024, by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, FTC, Surajpur, District-Surajpur CG, the appellant has been convicted as under:- <table><tr><th><u>Conviction</u></th><th><u>Sentence</u></th></tr><tr><td>Under Section 302 of IPC</td><td>RI for life, and to pay fine of Rs.100/- with default stipulation</td></tr></table> Heard Shri Srinivas Rao, learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Shri Shailendra Sharma, learned Dy GA, appearing for	<u>Conviction</u>	<u>Sentence</u>	Under Section 302 of IPC	RI for life, and to pay fine of Rs.100/- with default stipulation
<u>Conviction</u>	<u>Sentence</u>				
Under Section 302 of IPC	RI for life, and to pay fine of Rs.100/- with default stipulation				

the respondent/State on the instant application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail (IA-01 of 2025).

As per the prosecution case, appellant stated to have gone to the house of the deceased, who is his step sister, armed with an axe, where other inmates of the house also there. On seeing him armed with axe, all the inmates ran away except the deceased, who could not run and she was assaulted by the appellant with the axe and caused injuries to the deceased. She was taken to the hospital, where she died during her treatment.

Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the offence. Appellant was not in a good mental state at the time of incident and there is no eyewitness. It is also submitted that father, mother and wife of the appellant, who are the main prosecution witnesses, turned hostile, and not supported the prosecution case. Only on the basis of seizure from the appellant he was arrested. The appellant is in jail since 19.09.2023, final adjudication of the case will take its own time, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes and would submit that there is sufficient evidence on record to show that

the appellant is involved in the crime in question. Claim of the appellant that he was not in good mental condition but there appears no evidence on record in this regard and no such plea has been taken under Section 84 of the IPC or in the statement of appellant recorded under Section 313 of the CrPC. FSL report is positive, and human blood has been found on the articles. Therefore, in view of the evidence available on record, the appellant is not entitled for bail.

Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties also considering the statement of the witnesses with respect to involvement of the appellant in commission of offence, FSL report wherein human blood was found on the articles-axe and gamcha, we consider it not a fit case to grant bail to the appellant.

Accordingly, application filed under Section 430 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for suspension of sentence and grant of bail, filed by the appellant-Belas Ram, S/o Kalayn Singh is **rejected.**

List this case after six weeks for final hearing.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice