



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5064 of 2025

SANDEEP JAISWAL **versus** THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order sheet

<u>16/12/2025</u>	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Bajpai, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Government Advocate for State/Respondent no. 1 and 2. Mr. Ghanshyam Patel, Counsel for the respondent no. 3 and 4. Mr. D.L. Dewangan, Counsel for the respondent no. 5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he has taken specific ground that though in the order impugned hearing through video conferencing is mentioned, however, at the time of hearing, video conferencing was not available and case was heard only by audio conferencing which is not permissible. He further submits that there is specific

alfiza	plea that in proceedings of hearing respondent no. 4 was present but the order is passed under the signature of respondent no. 3. He also pointed out that there is no written instruction or directives to hear on application for grant of permit or any other applications through virtual mode. Petitioner is not heard while passing impugned order. Learned counsel for private respondents submits that for convenience of parties making submission by interested parties in the proceedings is by virtual mode as also by physical mode as it is specifically mentioned in the agenda published for hearing of particular application. Learned counsel for State submits that according to procedure adopted both modes of hearing is provided and he may be granted short time make his submission and produce relevant documents. List this case on Friday i.e. on 19.12.2025. sd/- (Parth Prateem Sahu) Judge
--------	---