



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WPC No. 5064 of 2025

Sandeep Jaiswal **Versus** State of Chhattisgarh & Ors

Order Sheet

25.09.2025	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Bajpai, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Soumitra Kesharwani, PL for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is challenging the impugned order dated 17.06.2025 passed by respondent No.2/Regional Transport Authority of C.G. which is against the provisions of Motor Vehicle Act and Rule made there under and law laid down by Hon'ble High Court. The whole proceeding was done through online audio mode wherein it is evident that voice of the officer therein was not of the respondent no.3, but it was the voice of respondent no.4/Mr. K.K. Patel, who is at present Joint Secretary Regional Transport Authority, whereby he was not legally competent to hear the case. Learned Counsel further contended that the respondent no.4 is not legally competent to hear the case, hence, the impugned order is

	liable to be quashed. Learned State Counsel contended that there is a provision of appeal under Section 89 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, therefore, the present petition is not maintainable. In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the impugned order is passed by the respondent no.2 without jurisdiction and there is no provision under Section 89 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 that an order is appealable if passed without jurisdiction, therefore, present petition is maintainable. Looking to the grievance of the petitioner, it would be appropriate to issue notices to the respondents. Heard on admission. Admit. Issue notice to the respondents, on payment of P.F., as per rules. Notice be made returnable within 04 weeks. List this case on 28th October 2025. Sd/- (Arvind Kumar Verma) Judge
--	--