



CGHC010410052019



2026:CGHC:32881

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 10719 of 2019**

Brijendra Kumar Tiwari, S/o. Shri D.P. Tiwari, Aged About 51 Years, Occupation Service, Working As Assistant Accounts Officer At Regional Science Centre Society, Raipur, R/o. Senior MIG - 210, Block B, Vijeta Complex, New Rajendra Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Finance, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 – Director, Treasury Accounts And Pension, Indrawati Bhawan, Block A, First Floor, Nava Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Chhattisgarh Public Service Commission, Through Its Secretary, Shankar Nagar Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Keshav Prasad Shukla, Presently Working As Assistant Accounts Officer, Office Of The Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Development, Bilaspur, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh



5 - Dayashankar Dewangan, Presently Working As Assistant Accounts Officer, Higher Education Department, Indrawati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

6 - Smt. Manda Shevalkar, Presently Working As Assistant Accounts Officer, Office Of The Divisional Deputy Commissioner, Commercial Tax, Bilaspur, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

7 - Goverdhan Prasad Verma, Presently Working As Assistant Accounts Officer, Office Of The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Indrawati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

(Private Respondents No 4 to 7 Are Next Juniors To The Petitioner)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Aniruddh Singh, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Wankhede, Advocate
For State-Respondents	: Mr. Sharad Mishra, Panel Lawyer

(Single Bench)

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

30.07.2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's representation against the gradation list was rejected vide the impugned order dated 16.11.2018, by way of a non-speaking and unreasoned order.



2026:CGHC:32881

2. Learned State counsel supports the impugned rejection order dated 16.11.2018 and submits that the writ petition is liable to be dismissed
3. Heard learned counsel for the parties, considered their rival submissions, and perused the record minutely.
4. A careful perusal of the impugned order dated 16.11.2018 would show that the petitioner's objections/representations dated 05.03.2018 & 23.04.2018, with regard to seniority list, have been considered and rejected by way of a non-speaking and unreasoned order, which is unsustainable and bad in law; therefore, the same is liable to be and is hereby set aside. The competent authority is directed to pass a fresh reasoned and speaking order on objection with regard to seniority list of Assistant Accounts Officers.
5. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Ashok