



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
Rev. No. 309 of 2025

M/s. Radhe Marketing **versus** Yes Bank Limited & Ors

Order Sheet

16.01.2026	Mr. Pankaj Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Rahul Rai, Advocate for respondent No.1. (Video Conferencing). Mr. Shzuam Mishra, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 & 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that instant review petition is filed seeking review/recall of order dated 23.07.2025 passed this Court in W.P.(C) No.3881/2025 (Yes Bank Ltd. vs Chief Judicial Magistrate, District & Session Court, Raipur, & Ors.), which was disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.2/Tehsildar to conclude proceedings arising out of possession order dated 07.12.2024 passed by learned CJM under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act, at the earliest within 60 days. However, said order dated



	07.12.2024 itself stands challenged by M/s. Radhe Marketing (respondent No.4 in writ petition) before the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT), Jabalpur under Section 17 of the SARFAESI Act; the pendency of such proceedings was not brought to the notice of this Court at the time of hearing of writ petition on 23.07.2025, resulting direction for execution have been issued even though foundational order is under statutory challenge. At this stage, learned counsel for respondent No.1/Bank contended that pendency of such proceedings was brought to the notice of this Court at the time of hearing of writ petition on 23.07.2025. In view of above, let an affidavit be filed by the Manager of the Yes Bank, <u>explaining as to why the fact of pendency of case/proceeding before the DRT was not brought to the notice of this Court at the time of hearing of writ petition on 23.07.2025</u>, before the next date of hearing. List this case 21.01.2026. Sd/- (Arvind Kumar Verma) Judge
--	--