



2026:CGHC:10627



2026:CGHC:10627

NAFR

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

### WPC No. 3545 of 2018

**1** - Shailesh Sharma S/o Late Vyas Prasad Sharma Aged About 46 Years R/o Lattipara, Kanker, Tehsil Kanker, District Uttar Baster, Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

--- **Petitioner(s)**

### **versus**

**1** - Board Of Revenue Principal Bench - Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**2** - Radheshyam Gupta S/o Late Bindeshwari Prasad Gupta Aged About 65 Years R/o C-2, Samta Colony, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**3** - Radhekrishna Gupta S/o Late Bindeshwari Prasad Gupta Aged About 62 Years R/o Dilli Road, Bhanupratappur, Tehsil - Bhanupratappur, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

**4** - Vijay Kumar Gupta S/o Late Bindeshwari Prasad Gupta Aged About 60 Years R/o Vivekanand Nagar, Gali No. - 2, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh., District : Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

**5** - Kumari Shobha Gupta S/o Late Bindeshwari Prasad Gupta Aged About 56 Years R/o Gupta Medical Store, Kanker, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

--- **Respondent(s)**

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

---

For Petitioner : Mr. Shobhit Koshta, Advocate

For Respondents No.2 to 5 : Mr. Apoorv Goyal, Advocate

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**

**Order On Board**



**28/02/2026**

1. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 submits that this writ petition has rendered infructuous. He further submits that this writ petition is filed challenging the proceedings of mutation, wherein application submitted by petitioner for mutating his name based on the Will has been rejected. Suit filed by the petitioner claiming title based on will also came to be dismissed and appeal is pending.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner do not dispute the submission of learned counsel for respondents with regard to the facts and events as submitted. He further submits that in view of the submission made by learned counsel for respondents, he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file appropriate proceedings if appeal is decreed in his favour.
3. In view of above submission made by learned counsel for respective parties, this writ petition is dismissed, reserving liberty with petitioner to file appropriate proceeding based on the subsequent order and decree, if passed in his favour.

**Sd/-**  
**(Parth Prateem Sahu)**  
Judge

Balram