



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

FA No. 676 of 2018Satyadeo Prasad Sinha **Versus** Prabhunath Singh

| | |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | |
| 27/09/2023 | <p>Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, Senior Counsel along with Ms. Sakshi Chopra, Counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Mr. B.P. Singh, Counsel for respondent No.1.</p> <p>Mr. Trivikram Nayak, PL for the State/respondent No.2.</p> <p>Having considered the application, I.A. No. 04/2023 wherein the respondent/plaintiff submits that, at para 6 the word "वादी विरोधी अधिपत्य के आधार पर भूमिस्वामी हो गया" was not written in the original plaint, which has been inserted after the judgment and decree of the Court is passed, and therefore, no issue was also framed on this point. He would submit that the decree is in favour of the respondent/plaintiff, if such word is inserted, the case would be defeated.</p> <p>Perusal of the record of the plaint would show that the said word was written, therefore, at this stage, we do not want to deliberate on this point as the case is already fixed for final hearing.</p> <p>Paper book has already been prepared and is on record.</p> <p>List the case for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Goutam Bhaduri) Judge</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Deepak Kumar Tiwari) Judge</p> <p><i>rahul</i></p> |