



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

ACQA No. 324 of 2022

RAJESH KUMAR DHOSLE **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

ACQA/924/2024

05.03.2025	Mr. Shikhar Bhaktiyar, counsel and Mr. K.L. Sahu, Dy. Government Advocate for the appellants. Mr. Ashutosh Trivedi, counsel for the proponents. Learned counsel for the appellants would submit that the demand and acceptance have been proved in accordance with law. This fact has been ignored by the learned trial Court while exonerating the respondents from the charges. The chip which has been used in voice recording has been approved by the Investigating Officer, Inspector C. Tigga which was submitted by Anil Kartik, therefore, the impugned order is against the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Neeraj Dutta vs. State (NCT of Delhi) {2022 SCC Online SC 1724}. When this Court put a specific query to the learned counsel for the petitioner, whether the chip has been subjected to Forensic Lab to assess credibility of genuineness of the chip and whether the call recording was sent for further investigation? He would pray for some time to go through	

Deshmukh	the entire records to make submission. List this case on 7th May, 2025. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) JUDGE	
----------	--	--