

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 9556 of 2019

Smt. Pragya Tiwari (Sharma) **Versus** State of Chhattisgarh & Others

16.09.2022	Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Aakash Pandey, P. L. for the State. Mr. Santosh Bharat, Advocate for the Respondent No. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that as per the policy of the Government of Chhattisgarh, guest lecturer should be appointed for particular academic session only, it can not be extended for next session until the advertisement has been issued. The State is directed to file policy with regard to the appointment of guest of lecturer in the colleges. It is correct that the appointment order should be issued only for one year then under which pretext respondent No. 4 is continued for academic session 2020-21 and 2022-23. This can not be clarified by the counter affidavit. Respondent No. 3 is also directed to clarify under which provision or instruction issued by the government of Chhattisgarh appointment order (Annexure R/2) has been issued in favour of respondent No. 4 for the next academic session without there being any advertisement to this effect. Post the matter on 13th of October, 2022. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) JUDGE

--	--

amita