



2026:CGHC:18378



2026:CGHC:18378

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 8554 of 2022

* - Nitesh Kumar Chouhan S/o Late Sirottam Lal Chouhan Aged About 39 Years Working Assistant Teacher (L.B.), Government Primary School Jurda Cluster Loing, Block And Tahsil -Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - The Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - The Collector Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

4 - The District Education Officer Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

5 - The Block Education Officer Block And Tahsil- Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Prakhar Ashwariya, Advocate holding the brief of Mr. R.S. Patel, Advocate
----------------	---	---

For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Abhyuday Tripathi, Panel Lawyer
-----------------------	---	-------------------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey **Order on Board**

22/04/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking the following relief(s):-

“10.1 This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ and direct the respondent authorities to decide the representation dated 21.11.2022 (Annexure P/7) and set-aside the order dated 09.11.2022 in the interest of justice.



10.2 This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ and direct the respondents to consider the name of the petitioner for his posting at Cluster Level & Block Level instead of Block Level, in the interest of justice.

10.3 This Hon'ble Court may further be pleased to pass an appropriate order or issue writ as deemed fit under the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has challenged the order dated 09.11.2022, whereby a guideline was issued by the Collector for counseling. He would contend that representation was made by the petitioner on 21.11.2022 before the District Collector to consider the name of the petitioner for promotion, but till date it has not been decided.
3. Learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State/respondents would submit that counseling for promotion was carried out in the year 2022-23 and by efflux of time, this petition has become infructuous.
4. Heard.
5. The petitioner has challenged the guidelines issued by the Collector to carry out counseling for promotion to the post of Head Master, Primary School. It appears that counseling would have been concluded in the year 2022-23 and no order can be passed in favour of the petitioner after lapse of 04 years.
6. With regard to next prayer, if representation made by the petitioner is still pending before the concerned Collector, it is expected that the authority concerned will decide it expeditiously.
7. With the aforesaid direction(s), this petition is **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge