



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 8499 of 2022

VINOD KUMAR RATHORE **versus** S.E.C.L. and others

Order Sheet

13.04.2026	Mr. Sushobhit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 3. Mr. Vivek Siddharth Ojha, P.L. for the State/respondents No. 4 & 5. Mr. Kaif Ali Rizvi, Advocate holding the brief of Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate for respondent No. 6. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that mother of the petitioner, namely, Radha Bai is adopted daughter of Puran Singh. He would submit that after death of Puran Singh, the land recorded in his name was mutated in her name. He would submit that the petitioner being son of Radha Bai was offered appointment in lieu of land acquisition by the SECL, but subsequently, a complaint was made and an enquiry was conducted, wherein, it was found that Puran Singh was a member of Scheduled Tribe community, whereas, Radha Bai and petitioner belong to

Other Backward Class, and therefore, the order of appointment was canceled.

In the entire petition, the petitioner has not placed single document to substantiate that any deed of adoption was executed in favour of mother of the petitioner and proceeding was initiated by the competent revenue authority with regard to mutation of the property belonging to Puran Singh.

Taking into consideration the issues involved in the present case, the Superintendent of Police, Korba is directed to conduct an enquiry and submit a detailed report within a period of 90 days from today.

Call this matter thereafter.

**Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge**