



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 1800 of 2025

Suddhu Singh Urf Nan Baba Sadan Singh Aged About 40 Years Niwasi
Gram - Andhibar Nawa Para, Thana- Khadganva, Jila - M.C.B. (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Aarakshi Kendra, Khadgavan, Jila- M.C.B. (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

16/03/2026	Mr. Abdul Moin Khan, learned counsel for the appellant. Mr. Ashutosh Trivedi, Panel Lawyer of the State. Heard on application I.A. No. 2 of 2025 which is the repeated application under Section 430 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for suspension of sentence and grant of bail.

Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that no direct evidence is available against the appellant. He laid electricity wire through the electricity current by which the deceased died, there is no evidence that the appellant has connected the wire through the pole of electricity. The dead body was found at a considerable distance from the house of the present appellant, the evidence of the witness P.W.- 1, P.W.-2, P.W.-3, P.W.-4, P.W.-5 and P.W.-6 are inconsistent on the material point and which are not sufficient to convict the appellant for the alleged offences. The appeal is of the year 2025 and final adjudication will take its time, therefore, he has filed this second bail application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail. He would further submit that the total period of sentences awarded to the appellant is of 5 years, out of which he already served for about 1 year, therefore, he may be enlarge for bail.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes and submits that after considering the evidence available on record, the first application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail has already been rejected by this court and there is no change in circumstances.

Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, considering the evidence available in the record and further considering that the first bail application of the applicant has already been rejected on merits after considering the evidence available on record.

This Court of the opinion that there is no change in circumstances to reconsider the application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail to the appellant.

Accordingly, the application I.A. No. 2/2025 is rejected.

The appellant may make his efforts to get the appeal finally decided.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge